PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION E01945-4T518 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 07.01.2005 26.01.2004 PCT/JP2005/000151 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant KABUSHIKI KAISHA TOYOTA JIDOSHOKKI This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000151

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With regard to filed, unless o	o the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was therwise indicated under this item.	ì
	This opi	inion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	
	Rule 12	.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.		to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed sopinion has been established on the basis of:	1
	a. type of	material	
	a :	sequence listing	
	tal	ble(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format o	of material	
	in in	written format	
	in	computer readable form	
	c. time of	filing/furnishing	
	00	ontained in the international application as filed.	
	fil	led together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	fu	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	furnishe	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or ed, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	r S
4.	Additional co	mments:	
	·	•	
		•	
		•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/000151

Box		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES	
		Claims		. NO	
Inventive step (IS)		Claims	1-6	YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES	
i		Claims		NO	
			•		

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 6-276057 A (Toshiba Ltd., Toshiba Electronics Co., Ltd.), 30 September

1994, page 2 to page 3, Fig. 2

Document 2: JP 5-152902 A (Sharp Corp.), 18 June 1993, page 2 to page 4, Fig. 1

The inventions described in claims 1-6 that relate to "an IF count system, that is a count system of an IF counter for counting IF signals with respect to the predetermined interval, characterized in involving an upper limit value preset processing for providing an upper limit of a desired count value as an initial value of count start, an IF count period generation processing for generating a period for counting the IF signals, an IF count processing of a down count system for performing down count corresponding to the IF signal from the initial value set in the upper limit value reset unit within the period generated by the IF count period generation processing, an IF count upper-lower limit value difference preset processing for providing information on the difference between an upper limit value and the lower limit value of the desired count value, a comparison processing for comparing the information on the difference between the IF count upper and lower limit values provided by the IF count upper and lower limit value difference preset processing and the first information based on the count information produced by the IF count processing of the down count system, and an evaluating processing for evaluating whether the count value is within a range of the desired upper limit value and lower limit value from the second information based on the count information obtained with the IF count processing of the down count system and the information on the comparison results obtained with the aforementioned comparison processing" is not described in the documents cited in the ISR and is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.